

## Estimate of Available Headroom 2011/12

	£m	
<b>Provisional DSG</b>	<b>293,397,000</b>	From pupil number analysis (Estimated from <b>October</b> Counts)
Expected Recoupment for Academies (incl. LACSEG)	(20,280,059)	
<b>Adjusted Provisional DSG</b>	<b>273,116,941</b>	A
Baseline Budget 2010/11 as per Section 251	253,904,979	
Standards Funds to be rolled in to DSG Baseline	36,594,507	
Revised Baseline 2010/11 (incl Academies)	290,499,486	
LESS Academy Recoupment	(20,280,059)	
<b>Revised Baseline 2010/11 After Academy Recoupment</b>	<b>270,219,427</b>	B
Inflation to Meet Minimum Funding Guarantee	0	0% no inflation increase to DSG settlement
Base Budget Pressures - central budgets	0	Schools Forum recommendation not to fund pay growth
	0	C
Adjust for changes in pupil numbers within delegated budget	(500,616)	D
Revised Schools Budget to meet MFG/Inflation and pupil number changes	269,718,811	E = B + C + D
<b>Add Cost Pressures - Schools Forum recommended to fund</b>		
Loss of ICT Harnessing Technology Grant - Broadband Connectivity	1,726,000	
Extension of Free Entitlement for 3 & 4 year olds from 12.5 to 15 hours	2,877,114	Based on take up from November term
New School Allowance - Sarum	100,000	
Revalue Reception AWPU to reflect provision of full time places from September 2011	469,000	
Additional take up of Free Entitlement (Early Years) - current estimate	306,948	Based on take up from November term
	5,479,062	F
<b>Less Savings Achieved from Central Budgets</b>		
Management Review	(700,646)	
Procurement & Commissioning Savings	(9,000)	
Central Savings for LACSEG - Maternity, PRC etc	(84,118)	
Other Efficiencies	(41,030)	
	(834,794)	G
<b>Budget Requirement for 2010/11</b>	<b>274,363,079</b>	H
<b>Potential Headroom/(shortfall)</b>	<b>(1,246,138)</b>	I = A - H
<b>Potential funding:</b>		
Use of 2010/11 underspend	1,246,138	Funding through one off underspend. Challenge to central services to develop savings plans for 2012/13
<b>Cost Pressures/Calls on Headroom still to be considered</b>		
Special School Banding - fully fund revised band values	334,000	To be considered if savings can be released from other SEN budgets
YPSS - address budget deficit	289,671	Proposals for YPSS budget to be brought to Schools Forum 2nd February
	623,671	
<b>Overall Shortfall if all cost pressures funded</b>	<b>1,869,809</b>	

Schools Forum Priorities																
	Amount £million	Delegate d/ Central	Increase in numbers/ volume	Builds Capacity	CYPP priority	No of Priorities Met	Impact of Previous Investment	Risk of not funding	Likelihood of risk	Impact of risk	Risk Score	Total Score (Risk plus no of priorities met)	Recommend ed by Schools Forum 17 January 2011	Amount recommended £m	Schools Forum Comments 17th January 2011	
1	New School allowance - new Sarum Primary School	0.100	Delegated	Yes	yes	3 Improving Achievement	3	not applicable	New school allowance will be paid from the schools delegated budget. If quantum not increased this pressure will need to be managed within the overall delegated budget - risk is that affordability of MFG will lead to affordability issues	3	2	6	9	Yes	0.100	Funding recommended as cost will be incurred
2	Increase in Free School Meal Entitlement formula as schools look to maximise pupil premium	0.182	Delegated	Yes	No	1 Promote resilience	2	Formula elements reflects estimated cost of free school meals in schools. Potential for this to increase in 2011/12 as schools encourage parents to register eligibility to generate the Pupil Premium	This is a potential cost pressure on the delegated budget.	3	2	6	8	No		Manage within overall delegated budget quantum
3	Revalue Reception AWPU to reflect provision of full time places from September 2011	0.469	Delegated	Yes	Yes	3 Improving achievement	3	Currently the reception AWPU is set at a lower value than the KS1 AWPU because provision is made for pupils to be part time. The requirement for schools to provide full time places from September 2011 will increase costs in primary schools	If not funded schools will not be able to meet the requirement for full time reception places.	4	3	12	15	Yes	0.469	Funding recommended as schools will need to incur additional staffing costs. Recommended increase Reception AWPU to level of KS1 AWPU prior to allocation of former standards funds (which will increase KS1 AWPU)
4	Additional Take up of Free Entitlement for 3 & 4 year olds (based on current estimate)	0.307	Central	Yes	Yes	1 promoting resilience 3 Improving achievement	3	Schools Forum have invested in demography and also in the development of a single funding formula for early years settings	Schools Forum have previously agreed that additional numbers should be funded, HOWEVER, the single funding formula is projected to underspend in 2010/11	2	3	6	9	Yes	0.307	Funding recommended - fund from 2010/11 underspend.
5	Special School Banding - fully fund activity led band values	0.334	Delegated	No	Yes	2 supporting mental well-being 3 Improving Achievement 5 Disabled children & Young People	2	Part of ongoing development of the role and function of special schools. Investment by Schools Forum has increased Special School budgets significantly since 2003/04. This reflects the increased complexity of need being met within the Special Schools. Schools Forum agreed a new activity led model for determining Band Values for Special Schools, the revised bands are currently funded at 97% of the full cost of the model.	If not funded band values continue to be funded at current levels. Increased funding would increase the capacity of maintained special schools to meet more complex needs. Risk of not funding is impact on external placement costs	3	3	9	11	No		Schools Forum recommended that any increase in special schools funding should be funded by savings in other SEN budgets. Proposal to be considered further if savings can be identified

Schools Forum Priorities																
	Amount £million	Delegate d/ Central	Increase in numbers/ volume	Builds Capacity	CYPP priority	No of Priorities Met	Impact of Previous Investment	Risk of not funding	Likelihood of risk	Impact of risk	Risk Score	Total Score (Risk plus no of priorities met)	Recommend ed by Schools Forum 17 January 2011	Amount recommended £m	Schools Forum Comments 17th January 2011	
6	0.290	Central	Yes	Yes	1 promoting resilience 2 supporting mental wellbeing 3 Improving achievement	3	One off investment has been made in 2010/11 to support increased numbers of excluded pupils being managed by the service. A full review of the service has been carried out in the Autumn of 2010 and a new funding model is required to appropriately reflect the needs of the service. Work has commenced on this but earliest implementation would be September 2011.	statutory provision for excluded pupils not able to be delivered. Insufficient capacity to deliver preventative work.	4	3	12	15	Yes	0.290	Work required to develop new funding model for YPSS	
7	0.080	Central	Yes	Yes	1 promoting resilience 3 Improving achievement	3	Budget has remained at the same level in recent years - based on the Care Matters requirements that £500 per LAC be allocated for Personal Education Plans	Budget is overspending in 2010/11. Risk could be mitigated by creative use of the Pupil Premium for LAC	3	1	3	6	No		Schools Forum recommended not to fund as pressure may be absorbed by LAC Pupil Premium	
8	0.064	Central	No	Yes	1 promoting resilience 3 Improving achievement	2		Loss of support to schools.	3	1	3	5	No			
9	0.037	Central	No	Yes	1 promoting resilience 3 Improving achievement	2	Previously funded from Standards Funds which have now been rolled into DSG. Regulations required that the grants are now delegated.	Loss of support to schools - staff currently under contract	3	1	3	5	No		Recommendation of Schools Forum was not to continue to fund	
10	0.052	Central	No	Yes	1 promoting resilience 3 Improving achievement	2		Loss of support to schools - staff currently under contract. Could be developed as a traded service?	3	1	3	5	No			
	<b>1.914</b>													<b>1.166</b>		